UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/399,545	09/20/1999	RAYMOND G. GALLAGHER	1185R1	2392
²⁴⁹⁵⁹ PPG INDUSTR	7590 11/24/201 IES INC	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA ONE PPG PLA	AL PROPERTY DEPT	CANFIELD, ROBERT		
PITTSBURGH,	=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Commence		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/399,	545	GALLAGHER, RAYMOND G.				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		ROBER	T J. CANFIELD	3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	FHIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 October 20	010					
•) This action is						
′=	Since this application is in condition fo	<i>′</i> —		osecution as to the	e merits is			
- / 🗀	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6,9-20,26,27,33-46,48-53,55</u>	is/are pending in	the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6.9-20,26,27,33-46,48-53,55</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the E	- - - - - -						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		h) objected to by the	Examiner				
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection							
			·		FR 1.121(d).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreian priority ı	nder 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
· .	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
٠,/١	a)							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
_	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				

Art Unit: 3635

1. This Office action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed 10/21/10. Claims 6, 9-20, 26, 27, 33-46, 48-53 and 55 are pending.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 35-46, 48-53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application.

 Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

In the amendment filed 01/06/98 applicant added the limitation "the first and second legs are spaced from and out of contact with one another" and argued this

Application/Control Number: 09/399,545 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

limitation as a patentable distinction. New claims 35-46, 48-53 and 55 fail to include this limitation. These claims include the limitation wherein portions of the bead on the inner surface of the frame are positioned between the inner surface of the base and the end portions of the members of the first and second legs. Applicant states that this embodiment of the invention was not originally claimed. The examiner notes that this feature appears in original patent claim 20. As this limitation was presented during the prosecution of the patent it cannot be considered an overlooked aspect.

4. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The declaration alleges that the error in the patent is that the embodiment of the invention wherein portions of the bead on the inner surface of the frame are positioned between the inner surface of the base and the end portions of the members was not claimed. However original patent claim 20 provided coverage for these features, as such, the alleged error is not a proper reissue error.

5. Claims 6, 9-20, 26, 27, 33-46, 48-53, 55 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/399,545 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the recapture rejection of claims 35-41 is improper because claims 35-41 claim subject matter which had not been claimed and thus was overlooked during the prosecution of the original patent.

Applicant argues that reissue claims 42 and 49 are respectively narrower than corresponding patent claims 13 and 23.

This is not found persuasive because if the reissue claim is as broad as or broader in an aspect germane to a prior art rejection, but narrower in another aspect completely unrelated to the rejection, the recapture rule bars the claim.

In the amendment filed 01/06/98 applicant added the limitation "the first and second legs are spaced from and out of contact with one another" and argued this limitation as a patentable distinction. New claims 35-46, 48-53 and 55 fail to include this limitation. This limitation is considered surrendered subject matter. These claims include the limitation wherein portions of the bead on the inner surface of the frame are positioned between the inner surface of the base and the end portions of the members of the first and second legs. Applicant states that this embodiment of the invention was not originally claimed. The examiner notes that this feature appears in original patent claim 20. As this limitation was presented during the prosecution of the patent it cannot be considered an overlooked aspect.

Application/Control Number: 09/399,545 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

The declaration fails to specifically identify language from the patent which was not claimed. Original patent claim 20 included the limitation of the bead having portions between the end of the second member of the first and second legs.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT J. CANFIELD whose telephone number is (571)272-6840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

/Robert J Canfield/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3635